

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/757,362	09/757,362 01/08/2001		Howard C. Chasteen	1604-373 6627		
22442	7590	08/08/2005		EXAMINER		
SHERIDAN			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE			
1560 BROADWAY SUITE 1200				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
DENVER, CO	O 80202		3727			

DATE MAILED: 08/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A !: . !: N					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Summany	09/757,362	CHASTEEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
St. 1144 110 D. 177	Robin A. Hylton	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A	pril 2005.					
_	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)	wn from consideration. e rejected. ected to.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the f	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
	•					
Attackers			•			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6-23-03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 3-13 and 15-19 are objected to because of the following informalities: the preamble of these dependent claims is inconsistent with the preamble of the claims from which they depend. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1,4,6-12,14,15,18,20,23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Buntain (US 6,443,324) in view of Tashiro et al (JP 2002-26029) and Aomatsu (JP 411049209).

Buntain teaches a beverage can end having an end wall, a countersink, and a central panel, the central panel having a centrally disposed rupturable score line **30**, and a pull tab **24** attached to the central panel by a rivet **28**. A straw **10** having a corrugated section is shown in figure 3 to be frictionally engaged in the opening **33** created by rupturing of the score line **30**. Buntain is silent regarding a vent hole.

Tashiro teaches it is known to provide a can end closure end panel with an opening for receiving a straw wherein the opening is substantially small enough to receive the straw therethrough and provide a vent area around the straw and limit the amount of spillage occurring from tipping the can end closure from a horizontal position.

Aomatsu teaches it is known to provide an end closure having a pull ring and rupturable score line with a substantially circular opening for receiving a straw therein and a small vent opening adjacent the circular opening.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of a small vent opening adjacent the substantially circular opening of Buntain. Doing so allows for venting of the associated can with the straw located within the substantially circular opening and preventing a vacuum from being created therein.

Wherein the straw is disclosed (in figure 3) as being frictionally engaged in the opening, the can end is substantially spill-proof.

Regarding the size of the vent opening, the disclosed vent opening of Aomatsu provides at least about 0.0004 inches of venting area.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3,5,13,16,17,19,21,and 22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim and for the reasons set forth hereinabove, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 7. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely

Art Unit: 3727

asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No. is being facsimiled to
The U	S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number (703) 872-9306 on the date shown below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate
	Signature
	Date

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAH July 25, 2005

Primary Examiner
GAU 3727